

A1 Score either A1-S OR A1-W

A1-S for SCRUB dominated plots:	Total score A1-Sa:	
Sa Which description best describes the diversity & structure of the SCRUB present?	/100	
Poor: Gorse dominated scrub.	40	
Moderate: Two native species from table above present.	60	
Good: Three native species from table above common throughout plot.	80	
Very good: Four or more native species from table above common throughout plot. Variation in vegetation height and structure throughout.	100	

A1-W for WOODLAND dominated plots: Total score Wa Which description best describes A1-W: the woodland CANOPY layer? (sum of A1 -Wa to Poor: Native woodland with frequent non-native A1-Wc) (conifers or deciduous) trees present. Moderate: Native woodland with occasional /100 non-native (conifer or deciduous) trees present. Good: Native woodland with no non-native 70 (conifer or deciduous) trees present. **Wb** Which description best describes the woodland SHRUB layer? Poor: Shrub layer absent or consists of non-native species. Moderate: Shrub layer present. 15 Good: Well developed shrub layer present. Wc Which description best describes the woodland FIELD layer? Poor: The field layer is absent or consists of non-native species. Moderate: Field layer present with low level of species and structural diversity. Good: Field layer supports good diversity of native 15 species, with mosses, ferns and herbs present.

Total score B:

10

B Hydrological integrity (carbon capture) B1 To what extent are there any artificial drainage features within the plot? Drained woodland: Frequent widespread free flowing drains affecting >20% of the plot. Partly drained woodland: Free flowing drains affecting <20% of the plot. Historic drainage evident: Drains present but flow is impeded. No drainage: No artificial drainage within plot.

C Threats & pre	essures		Total score C: (sum of C1 to C4) /0
C1 Is there any evid to habitat, vegetation	ence of damaging activities , or archaeology?	Damaging activities: (tick relevant damage & describe i	
High: Damage occurring or of a serious nature if cor		Damage from supplementary fe	eding Quarrying
Moderate: Damage occu (≥6-20%) or of a moderate	urring across a moderate area -20	Damage to archaeological featu	Dumping
Low: Damage occurring a or of a minor nature if conf		Boundary damage Other (please specify): Removal of mature scrub/trees	
None: No damaging activ	vities. 0		
downstream of the fie The source - pathway - re		ural water bodies within, adjacento flow, sediment, nutrients or ot assessment (see guidance). None: 0	
C3 What is the exter	nt of bare soil & erosion?		
		Bare soil may also be extending out signi ficant rutting and soil disturbance caused	
points. Bare soil may exten		or congregation areas, with minor soil los feed site and/or water points. Minor ruttir e present.	
Low: Bare soil more or less	s restricted to regular stock paths, 'pi	inch' points & small congregation areas. N	No soil loss. 0
C4 What is the cover of non-native invasive species?	High: Abundant. Some forming dense clumps, many seedlings. Moderate: Frequent. Some flowering, many seedlings present. Low: Scattered. Plants mostly	-10 Rhododendron Cotoneaster Japanese Knotweed	species: (tick if present) Himalayan balsam Himalayan knotweed Himalayan honeysuckle
	small and not flowering.	-5 Giant Hogweed	Other (please specify):
	None: No non-native invasive species present.	0	
Common managen	nent recommendations to	pick from:	
Continue current r	management of this high qual	lity scrub/woodland field.	
Control the occurr	ence and spread of invasive sp	pecies. Consult with CP team rega	arding solutions.
Consider using su	oporting actions to slow or im	pede the flow of drains.	
<u> </u>	*	supporting actions are available.	
	field more evenly.	oil of our portion actions a Fig.	aina / deinkina faailteiaa
	nagement. You may wish to av Jughs regularly and keep away	ail of supporting actions e.g. Fen	cing / arinking facilities.
No management a		mont diamo alla tivolo.	
Other manageme			